



UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED I | NVENTOR | | ATTO | RNEY DOCKET NO. |
|---|-------------|---------------|---------|-------------------|------------|----------------------|
| 3/804,909 02/25/97 | | TZANNES | ΙΥΙ | | 6008/54140 | |
| LM02/0414 MARTIN J. ODONNELL | | | T | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | | TRAN, K | | |
| CESARI AND MCKENNA, LLP 30 ROWES WHARF | | | | ART UN | IIT III | PAPER NUMBER |
| BOSTON MA 02110 | | | | 2731 _/ | | 7 |
| | | | | DATE MAIL | | / _{14/99} / |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks





Notice of Abandonment

Application No. 08/804,909 Applicant(s)

TZANNES et al

Examiner

KHAI TRAN

Group Art Unit 2731



| Thi | s ap | oplication is abandoned in view of: | | | |
|--|-----------------|---|--|--|--|
| X | app | olicant's failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter mailed on Aug 31, 1998 | | | |
| | | A response (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on, which is after the expiration of the period for response (including a total extension of time of | | | |
| | | month(s)) which expired on | | | |
| | | A proposed response was received on, but it does not constitute a proper response to the final rejection. | | | |
| | | (A proper response to a final rejection consists only of: a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; a Notice of Appeal; or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.62 (FWC)). | | | |
| | X | No response has been received. | | | |
| | ap _l | plicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date the Notice of Allowance. | | | |
| | | The issue fee (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) was received on | | | |
| ☐ The submitted issue fee of \$ is insufficient. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | | | | | |
| | | The issue fee has not been received. | | | |
| | • | plicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required in the Notice of Allowability. | | | |
| | | Proposed new formal drawings (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission of) were received on | | | |
| | | The proposed new formal drawings filed are not acceptable. | | | |
| | | No proposed new formal drawings have been received. | | | |
| | th | e express abandonment under 37 CFR 1.62(g) in favor of the FWC application filed on | | | |
| | | e letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire terest, or all of the applicants. | | | |
| | th 3 | e letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 7 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | | | |
| | th fo | te decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences rendered on and because the period or seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. | | | |
| |] th | ne reason(s) below: | | | |
| | | CHI H. PHAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER | | | |
| | | GROUP 2700 4/2/59 | | | |